

FRIANT	
EXHIBIT NO.	30
FOR IDENTIFICATION	
DATE:	RPTR: mo

LAW OFFICE
JOHN J. WELCH, LTD.
8 E. CENTER STREET
RUTLAND, VERMONT 05701
TELEPHONE (802) 773-3384
FACSIMILE (802) 747-4451

22 September 2003

Mr. Stephen S. Woods, Esq.
Timberpeg East, Inc.
68 Lyme Road
Hanover, New Hampshire 03755

Re: Vermont Timber Works, Inc.

Dear Mr. Woods:

Mr. Isbitski asked my client to design a frame to fit a portion of the floor plans of his house. He represented to my client that he paid for and owned a set of plans, which he provided to my client that were drawn by Timberpeg. Mr. Isbitski told my client that he was unhappy with the frame that Timberpeg had proposed, and that he would like to have a frame with traditional joinery, which Timberpeg does not do and did not represent in their design, a bent and purlin system, which Timberpeg does not do and did not represent in their design, a pre-finished frame, which Timberpeg does not do and did not represent in their design, and installation, which Timberpeg does not do.

My client designed a frame to Isbitski's requirements and parameters, limited to the central section of his house; using traditional pegged joinery, dovetailed joists and purlins, Douglas fir timber, a bent and purlin system, curved braces and a one coat of shop applied finish. My client drew its own shop drawings and wrote its own specifications using its own timber framing system that it had developed over the past 17 years. The drawings that Mr. Isbitski gave my client had no specific frame details, drawings or specifications. They did have reference to a frame style that my client did not copy, and they referenced joints that my client did not use.

My client only provided to Mr. Isbitski with an acceptable timber frame cut to my client's drawings, for a portion of his house, which is substantially different than a Timberpeg frame. Mr. Isbitski did all other work, including the foundation, the building envelope, doors, windows, siding and finishing and all other sections of the house. Mr. Isbitski apparently followed the rest of Timberpeg drawings, and, if Mr. Isbitski did not own the drawings as he told my client, Timberpeg may perhaps have a reasonable case against him.

Timberpeg does not have a case against my client, my client did not copy their drawings or their frame design. Should Timberpeg continue against my client, my client will defend itself and take action to recover its damages and legal costs from Timberpeg, against an allegation that we view as groundless.

I will, in the meantime, inquire of my client as respects my client's willingness to pay something to settle, to wit, less than the \$25,000.00 you proposed to my client which is clearly not acceptable to my client.

Sincerely yours,



John J. Welch, Jr., Esq.

JJW/tab